Order

Michigan Supreme Court Lansing, Michigan

October 25, 2006

129564-67 129569-72 & (70) Michael F. Cavanagh Elizabeth A. Weaver Marilyn Kelly Maura D. Corrigan Robert P. Young, Jr. Stephen J. Markman,

Clifford W. Taylor,

Chief Justice

Justices

JOEANN BATES,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v SC: 129564-67

COA: 252022, 252047, 252792, and 252793 DR. SIDNEY GILBERT, Defendant-Appellee,

and

D&R OPTICAL CORPORATION, d/b/a HEALTH CENTER OPTICAL, Defendant-Appellant.

_____/

JOEANN BATES,

Plaintiff-Appellee/Cross-Appellant,

v SC: 129569-72

COA: 252022, 252047, 252792, and 252793 DR. SIDNEY GILBERT, Wayne CC: 03-308969-NH

Defendant-Appellant/ Cross-Appellee,

and

D&R OPTICAL CORPORATION, d/b/a HEALTH CENTER OPTICAL, Defendant-Appellee/

Cross-Appellee.

On order of the Court, the applications for leave to appeal the August 16, 2005 judgment of the Court of Appeals and the application for leave to appeal as cross-appellant are considered. We direct the Clerk to schedule oral argument on whether to grant the applications or take other peremptory action. MCR 7.302(G)(1). At oral

argument, the parties shall address whether the requirements of MCL 600.2912d(1)(a)-(d) are satisfied if: (1) a plaintiff files a single affidavit of merit that is signed by a health professional who plaintiff's counsel reasonably believes is qualified under MCL 600.2169 to address the standard of care, but who is not also qualified to address causation; or (2) a plaintiff files a single affidavit of merit that is signed by a health professional who plaintiff's counsel reasonably believes is qualified under § 2169 to address causation, but who is not also qualified to address the standard of care. The parties shall also address whether § 2912d(1) permits or requires a plaintiff to file multiple affidavits, signed by different health professionals, when a single health professional is not qualified under § 2169 to testify about both the standard of care and causation. The parties may file supplemental briefs within 42 days of the date of this order, but they should avoid submitting a mere restatement of the arguments made in their application papers.



I, Corbin R. Davis, Clerk of the Michigan Supreme Court, certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of the order entered at the direction of the Court.

October 25, 2006

Clerk

Callin a. Danis